

the filing fee of \$402.00. The Commissioner, however, asserts “the EAJA fee is payable to Plaintiff as the litigant and may be subject to offset to satisfy a pre-existing debt that the litigant owes to the United States.” *Id.*

The Court has reviewed Plaintiff’s Application for Attorney’s Fees pursuant to the EAJA, and concludes the statutory requirements are met. By Order and Judgment of Remand dated September 13, 2023, the Court remanded this case to Defendant Commissioner for further proceedings pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). ECF Nos. 12, 13. A claimant seeking judicial review of a final decision denying Social Security disability benefits may recover attorney’s fees if he or she receives a “sentence four” remand. *See Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 295-96 (1993).

Attorney’s fees may not be awarded in excess of \$125.00 per hour – the maximum statutory rate under § 2412(d)(2)(A) – unless the Court finds that an increase in the cost of living or a special factor such as the limited availability of qualified attorneys justifies a higher fee. 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(2)(A). The decision to increase the hourly rate is not automatic and remains at the discretion of the district court. *McNulty v. Sullivan*, 886 F.2d 1074 (8th Cir. 1989). The hourly rate should be increased, however, where there is “uncontested proof of an increase in the cost of living sufficient to justify hourly attorney’s fees of more than [\$125] per hour,” such as the Consumer Price Index. *Johnson v. Sullivan*, 919 F.2d 503, 504-505 (8th Cir. 1990). In this case, Plaintiff has presented uncontested evidence of an increase in the cost of living. ECF No. 14-5. The Court agrees with Plaintiff that a cost-of-living increase is appropriate as requested.

As Plaintiff prevailed and is not otherwise precluded from receiving attorney’s fees, the Court finds she is entitled to \$3,970.00. Despite Plaintiff’s request for the Court to make the fee

payable directly to Parmele Law Firm, as an assignee, the Court must instead make the fee payable to Plaintiff as the litigant. *See Astrite v. Ratliff*, 560 U.S. 586, 593 (2010) (holding EAJA fees are payable to the prevailing party and may be subject to offset to satisfy any pre-existing debt owed to the United States); *see also Johnson v. Colvin*, No. 4:11-CV-1599 AGF, 2013 WL 5567711, at *1 (E.D. Mo. Oct. 9, 2013) (“The Court understands *Ratliff* to require that the EAJA award be made directly to Plaintiff.”); *Madison v. Astrue*, No. 4:11-CV-238-TIA, 2012 WL 2919135, at *1 (E.D. Mo. July 17, 2012) (providing award of attorney’s fees directly to the plaintiff despite the execution of an assignment agreement) (citing *Sumpter v. Astrue*, No. 2:10-CV-35-AGF, 2012 WL 88088, at *1 (E.D. Mo. Jan. 11, 2012)).

Plaintiff also seeks reimbursement of the filing fee in the amount of \$402.00. A judgment for costs, may be awarded to the prevailing party in any civil action brought by or against the United States or any agency thereof in any court having jurisdiction of such action. 28 U.S.C. § 2412(a)(1). “A judgment for costs when taxed against the United States shall, in an amount established by statute, court rule, or order, be limited to reimbursing in whole or in part the prevailing party for the costs incurred by such party in the litigation.” *Id.* Based on the foregoing, the Court will award Plaintiff costs in the amount of \$402.00 for reimbursement of the filing fee.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff Deborah Lilly’s Application for Attorney’s Fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act [ECF No. 14] is **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Commissioner of Social Security Administration shall pay attorney’s fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act in the amount of Three Thousand Nine Hundred and Seventy Dollars (\$3,970.00).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the award of attorney's fees shall be made payable to Plaintiff Deborah Lilly, subject to any pre-existing debt that the Plaintiff owes the United States, and the check should be mailed to Plaintiff's counsel at The Parmele Law Firm, P.C., 1545 E. Primrose Street, Springfield, Missouri 65804.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff Deborah Lilly's Motion for Bill of Costs [ECF No. 15] is **GRANTED**, and costs in the amount of \$402.00 shall be remitted to Plaintiff to be paid from the Judgment Fund administered by the United States Treasury.

So Ordered this 6th day of December, 2023.



STEPHEN R. WELBY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE